

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY**

In re: LAMICTAL ANTITRUST LITIGATION

Master File Nos. 12-995 (WHW-CLW)

This Document Relates to:

LOUISIANA WHOLESALE DRUG CO., INC., on
behalf of itself and all others similarly situated,
Plaintiff,

v.

SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION d/b/a
GLAXOSMITHKLINE, TEVA PHARMACEUTICAL
INDUSTRIES LTD., and TEVA
PHARMACEUTICALS,
Defendants.

Case No. 2:12-CV-00995 (WHW-CLW)

KING DRUG COMPANY OF FLORENCE, INC., on
behalf of itself and all others similarly situated,
Plaintiff,

v.

SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION d/b/a
GLAXOSMITHKLINE, TEVA PHARMACEUTICAL
INDUSTRIES LTD., and TEVA
PHARMACEUTICALS,
Defendants.

Case No. 2:12-CV-01607 (WHW-CLW)

ROCHESTER DRUG CO-OPERATIVE, INC., on
behalf of itself and all others similarly situated
Plaintiff,

v.

SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION d/b/a
GLAXOSMITHKLINE, TEVA PHARMACEUTICAL
INDUSTRIES LTD., and TEVA
PHARMACEUTICALS

Defendants.

Case No. 15-CV-8034 (WHW-CLW)

In re: LAMICTAL INDIRECT PURCHASER AND
ANTITRUST CONSUMER LITIGATION

Master File No. 2:12-CV-05120-
WHW-CLW

This Document Relates to:

CAROLYN MCANANEY, on behalf of herself and all
others similarly situated, and INTERNATIONAL
BROTHERHOOD OF ELECTRICAL WORKERS,
LOCAL 38, HEALTH AND WELFARE FUND, on
behalf of itself and all others similarly situated
Plaintiffs,

Case No. 2:12-CV-05120-WHW-
CLW

v.	SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION d/b/a GLAXOSMITHKLINE, TEVA PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRIES LTD., and TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS
	Defendants.
	INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF ELECTRICAL WORKERS LOCAL 595 HEALTH AND WELFARE FUND, on behalf of itself and others similarly situated
	Plaintiff,
v.	GLAXOSMITHKLINE LLC, TEVA PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRIES LTD., and TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS
	Defendants.

Case No. 2:12-CV-06721-WHW-
CLW

[Proposed] PRETRIAL SCHEDULING ORDER

This matter having come before the Court for an initial scheduling conference pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 16 on February 8, 2016; and for good cause shown,

IT IS on this 1st day of March, 2016,

ORDERED that:

SCHEDULE

The following schedule shall govern the proceedings in this action:

Event	Deadline
Telephone status conferences to be initiated by Plaintiffs	
If the parties are not able to reach agreement on a Discovery Confidentiality Order, competing proposals to be provided to the Court by this date. In the interim, the Court hereby enters the Discovery Confidentiality Order annexed as Appendix S to the Local Civil Rules of the District of New Jersey, which shall remain in effect until further Order of the Court.	February 29, 2016

If the parties are not able to reach agreement on a protocol for discovery of documents and electronically stored information, competing proposals to be provided to the Court by this date.	March 3, 2016
Deadline to add new parties or amend pleadings, whether by amended or third party complaint, without leave of Court or agreement among the Parties. Excepted is the deadline for filing an amended answer in response to an amended complaint.	August 15, 2016
Document production, which shall proceed on a rolling basis, to be completed. Privilege logs identifying redacted or withheld documents will be produced on a rolling basis within 30 (thirty) days of the corresponding production.	January 9, 2017
Fact discovery closes, except for requests for admissions pertaining to admissibility of evidence. Discovery requests must be served to be answerable by this date.	March 9, 2017
Plaintiffs to serve expert reports	April 4, 2017
Defendants to serve opposition expert reports	July 17, 2017
Plaintiffs to serve rebuttal expert reports	September 15, 2017
Depositions of expert witnesses to be completed and expert discovery shall close.	November 15, 2017
Plaintiffs to file and serve motions for class certification	December 1, 2017
Defendants to file and serve their oppositions to motions for class certification	January 16, 2018
Plaintiffs to file and serve replies in further support of their motions for class certification	February 15, 2018

DISCOVERY & MOTION PRACTICE

No discovery motion or motion for sanctions for failure to provide discovery shall be made without leave of Court. Counsel shall confer in good faith and attempt to informally resolve any discovery disputes before seeking the Court's intervention. Should such informal efforts fail, the aggrieved party shall bring the dispute to the Court's attention in the first instance by letter. Thereafter, the Court may schedule a telephonic discovery conference pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f) to resolve the dispute and/or may authorize the filing of a motion. See L. Civ. R. 16.1(f). Discovery letters shall be submitted on the Court's ECF system unless they contain or attach information which a party believes should not be filed on the docket, in which event it may be submitted to the Court via e-mail. Courtesy Copies of letters or motion papers relating to discovery or scheduling matters are not necessary.



HON. CATHY L. WALDOR
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

X - The Court will hold a telephonic conference, to be initiated by Plaintiff, on 7/12/16 @ 10:00 A.M.